Attorney Docket No. 10636-005001 Appl. No. 09/658,215 Amdt. dated July 30, 2003 Reply to Office Action dated January 30, 2003

Amendment to the Claims:

This listing of claims replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Claims 1-3 (canceled)

Claim \ (currently amended): A constellation as in claim 3,

further comprising a A satellite system, comprising:

a plurality of satellites in inclined elliptical orbits, each said satellite communicating with a portion of the Earth, at least a first group of said satellites being in common orbits having the same, first, repeating ground track, and a second group of said satellites being in common orbits having the same, second, repeating ground track, different than said first ground track, each said satellite communicating during only a portion of the elliptical orbit closest to apogee;

wherein each of said first and second ground tracks define active portions closest to apogee that follow populated portions on the earth; and

a third group of said satellites being in common orbits having the same, third ground track, different than said first and second ground tracks.

orbit; and

Attorney Docket No. 10636-005001 Appl. No. 09/658,215 Amdt. dated July 30, 2003 Reply to Office Action dated January 30, 2003

a.

Claim \$ (original): A constellation as in claim \$\frac{1}{4}\$, wherein said first and second ground tracks are in the Northern Hemisphere, and said third ground track is in the Southern Hemisphere.

AJ

Claim (original): A communication system, comprising:

a plurality of ground stations, each including

communication equipment for communicating with a satellite in

a plurality of satellites in respective orbits, said respective orbits including a first sub-constellation orbit with a plurality of satellites therein, each of said plurality of satellites following a repeating ground track that repeats an integral number of times each day and each repeating ground track optimized for covering more than one specific land mass on the earth, including a first sub-constellation optimized for covering first land masses in the Northern Hemisphere, a second sub-constellation optimized for covering second land masses in the Northern Hemisphere, and a third sub-constellation optimized for covering third land masses in the Southern Hemisphere.

Claim (original): A constellation as in claim wherein each of said sub-constellations has 5 satellites therein.

Attorney Docket No. 10636-005001

Appl. No. 09/658,215

Amdt. dated July 30, 2003

Reply to Office Action dated January 30, 2003

Claims 8-10 (canceled)

Claim 1 (original): A communication system, comprising:

a plurality of ground stations on respective land masses; and

a plurality of satellites in elliptical orbits, said plurality of satellites being in orbits in sub-constellations, each sub-constellation having a plurality of satellites and repeating ground tracks, which repeating ground tracks are each optimized to follow a plurality of said land masses, each satellite operating only during a predetermined percentage of its orbit closest to its apogee, where two of said sub-constellations cover land masses in the Northern Hemisphere and a third sub-constellation covers land masses in the Southern Hemisphere.

6

Claim 12 (original): A constellation as in claim 11 wherein a first sub-constellation has ground tracks covering with apogees covering Alaska, Western United States, Western Canada, Western Europe, West Africa, China and India, a second sub-constellation has ground tracks covering with apogees covering Eastern United States, Canada, Central America, Eastern Europe,



Attorney Docket No. 10636-005001 Appl. No. 09/658,215 Amdt. dated July 30, 2003 Reply to Office Action dated January 30, 2003

Africa, India and China, and the third sub-constellations with apogees covering South America, South Africa, Australia and New Zealand.

Claim 18 (original): A constellation as in claim 12 wherein said satellites transmit only during 60 percent of their orbit.

Claim 1 (original): A constellation as in claim 1 wherein said satellites are in 8 hour orbits and communicate for 2.4 hours on either side of their apogees.

Claim 15 (original): A constellation as in claim 11 wherein said satellites are approximately 3/4 of the height necessary for geosynchronous orbit or less.

Claims 16-23 (canceled)

